EXHIBIT C
MINUTES 12/16/10
COMMITTEE ON SWEATFREE PURCHASES

Thoughts on point system for sweatfree compliance.

- Should not preclude continuing to work with bidder after bid is awarded.
- Should be easy for staff to implement within current bid framework.

Extent of current problem:
- Without a point system, no one gets any points and no one is compliant, so in effect the compliance does not weight one bidder over another and compliance is a moot point.
- Forms are a mess, no one is doing them completely, many are sending a lot of extra stuff to wade through, like mnfcter we sites.

PROS for point system
- Sends a message that we do mean to start factoring in compliance. ✓
- SF could become a differentiating factor between bidders, creates competition. ✓
- More points could be given for factory location, less for details, like SPC prefers. ✓
- Point system can be "ratcheted up" over time, back to 100% requirement. ✓
- Might be able to introduce committee oversight into ordinance, then have freedom to change and tighten as industry responds.
- More in line with current bidding measurements like local preference.

CONS for point system
- We back away from current 100% stance, at least for some period of time = giving up.
- Might have to change ordinance, not sure wise to do so in current climate.
- Only way back to 100% is to change annually, vendors say frequent change is bad.
- SPC wants us to wait, do a pilot, write model code.
- SPC doesn't want partial compliance or a system that requires lying.
- Would have to establish high enough point system that it's not skipped by vendors