

AGENDA # 2

City of Madison, Wisconsin

REPORT OF: LANDMARKS COMMISSION	PRESENTED: December 9, 2013
TITLE: Accepting the Madison Municipal Building Conceptual Schematic Design Study by Isthmus Architecture, Inc. (32477)	REFERRED: REREFERRED: REPORTED BACK:
AUTHOR: Amy Scanlon, Secretary	ADOPTED: POF:
DATED: December 9, 2013	ID NUMBER:

Members present were: Stu Levitan, Chair; Erica Fox Gehrig, Vice Chair; Christina Slattery, Jason Fowler, David McLean, Marsha Rummel, and Michael Rosenblum. Jason Fowler excused.

SUMMARY:

Jeanne Hoffman, Facilities and Sustainable Manager, City of Madison, Engineering Division. Hoffman introduced the project for the Madison Municipal Building (MMB) Conceptual Schematic Design Study by Isthmus Architecture.

Peter Rott, registering neither in support nor opposition, wishing to speak, and available to answer questions. Rott explained the project team and the purpose of the project. The report is to be used to determine if the MMB should be maintained as civic/office use. He explained the team took Landmarks issues into account to prepare the report.

Rott explained that the building is sturdy and in good condition.

A Programming study, involving staff, was conducted to determine space needs for the building. Further refinement of the programming would be needed.

Hoffman stated this report is also a condition assessment of MEP systems, structural systems, and materials and the removal of rear addition (loading dock) of the building. Hoffman explained that the report found that the space needs of present agencies can be accommodated in the building. City Channel could move to CCB. The Post Office confirmed the existing space is too large for current needs.

Hoffman explained how RFP language was changed to request 2 options to include the MMB in a hotel proposal or to use the MMB as office space. The report will be shared with the Judge Doyle Square Committee to make an informed decision.

Hoffman gave general cost estimates. Hoffman explained that the report provides the City with an understanding of the conditions that currently exist in the building and the approximately costs associated with maintaining the MMB as office space. The current cost estimates are approximate at this time.

Historic photographs of original first floor were passed around.

Rummel asked what happened with “One Stop Shop” idea.

Hoffman explained that numerous program blocking diagrams are provided in the report that show different building arrangements. The Development Services Center would be part of the discussion of appropriate options before planning for improvements.

Rott explained that Scheme 3 is the “One Stop Shop” option.

Hoffman explained that an efficient office layout can be accomplished in this building.

ACTION:

A motion was made by Slattery, seconded by McLean, to accept the report. The motion passed by voice vote/other.