

City of Madison

Minutes – Draft

Task Force on Structure of City Government

Boards, Commissions and Committees Subcommittee

Friday, February 8, 2019

2:00 p.m.

City-County Building, Room GR-27 210 Martin Luther King, Jr. Blvd.

NOTE: POSSIBLE QUORUM OF THE TASK FORCE ON THE STRUCTURE OF CITY GOVERNMENT OR THE COMMON COUNCIL MAY BE PRESENT AT THIS MEETING

If you need an interpreter, translator, materials in alternate formats or other accommodations to access this service, activity or program, please call the phone number below at least three business days prior to the meeting.

Si necesita un intérprete, un traductor, materiales en formatos alternativos u otros arreglos para acceder a este servicio, actividad o programa, comuníquese al número de teléfono que figura a continuación tres días hábiles como mínimo antes de la reunión.

Yog hais tias koj xav tau ib tug neeg txhais lus, ib tug neeg txhais ntawv, cov ntawv ua lwm hom ntawv los sis lwm cov kev pab kom siv tau cov kev pab, cov kev ua ub no (activity) los sis qhov kev pab cuam, thov hu rau tus xov tooj hauv qab yam tsawg peb hnub ua hauj lwm ua ntej yuav tuaj sib tham.

Office of the City Attorney (608) 266-4511

Legislative File No. 50732 - DOCUMENTS RELATED TO THE TASK FORCE

1. CALL TO ORDER / ROLL CALL

Meeting Called to Order at 2:00 p.m. Present: Rothschild, Castañeda, Kemble Absent: Northrop Also Present: Brenda Konkel, Karl Van Lith, Karen Kapusata-Pofahl, Alder Keith Furman, Nick Zavos and John Strange

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Motion by Kemble, second by Rothschild to approve minutes from the February 5, 2019 meeting. Motion approved on a voice vote.

3. PUBLIC COMMENT

No public comments. Motion by Kemble, seconded by Rothschild to suppress the rules to stand informally to allow for public discussion and engagement of the public. Motion approved on a voice vote.

Staff and the public participated freely throughout the meeting.

4. DISCLOSURES AND RECUSALS

There were no disclosures or recusals from the members present.

5. DISCUSSION ON OPTIONS FOR APPOINTMENT OF MEMBERS TO BOARDS, COMMISSIONS AND COMMITTEES

The subcommittee continued its discussion of options for appointment of members of BCCs and noted the various alternatives it wishes to include in the Subcommittee's report to the Task Force. a. Option 1

- i. Mayor appoints all resident BCC members.
- ii. CCEC appoints all alder BCC members.
- b. Option 2
 - i. CCEC appoints all members to policy-related BCCs.
 - ii. Mayor appoints all members to administration-related BCCs.
- c. Option 3
 - i. Mayor continues to appoint all members but ordinance changes are made to give the CCEC a right of refusal of the mayor's appointees.
 - ii. CCEC appoints all members but ordinance changes are made to give the Mayor a right of refusal of the CCEC's appointees.
 - iii. Create a hybrid system like this where, perhaps, the CCEC selects members for the "mother committees" and the mayor has right of refusal.

The Subcommittee then posed a series of questions related to the potential impacts of changing the structure of the BCCs.

- a. Will change in appointment powers impact diversity of members? Maybe.
- b. Will change in appointment powers impact training of chairs and members? No.
- c. Will change in appointment powers address large number of BCC vacancies? Maybe.
- d. Will change in appointment powers make an impact of overall relevance and redundancy of some BCCs? No.

The Subcommittee noted that a number of the issues raised by the preceding questions could be addressed by a possible Office on Resident Engagement and Neighborhood Support (ORENS).

Finally, the subcommittee noted that it has not addressed one issue = whether alders should be able to chair BCCs.

6. DISCUSSION REGARDING STAFF ENGAGEMENT

The subcommittee next discussed how to engage City staff to get staffs' input on the current BCC structure. Due to confidentiality concerns, the subcommittee determined that it would be best to start with a general survey of city staff similar to how the Task Force gained input from past government officials. It instructed city staff to work with the chair of the subcommittee on developing such a survey.

7. DISCUSSION OF SCHEDULE FOR PREPARATION OF SUBCOMMITTEE REPORT TO THE TASK FORCE

The subcommittee discussed that staff in the City Attorney's Office should write the report to the full task force and that the subcommittee should meet at least one additional time prior to March 12 to review the report and provide feedback.

8. ADJOURNMENT

Motion by Rothschild, second by Kemble, to adjourn. Meeting adjourned at 4:00 p.m.