

CITY OF MADISON ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

VARIANCE APPLICATION

\$300 Filing Fee

Ensure all information is typed or legibly printed using blue or black ink.

	ect Property: 26 N Hanco	ck Street	
Name of Owner:	Joseph F. Martino		Address of the second of the s
Address of Owne	er (if different than above):	N/A	
Dautime Phone:	608-219-4547	Evening Phone: N/	
·			
Email Address:	, -		
Name of Applica	ant (Owner's Representative	e): N/A	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
Davtime Phone	•	Evening Phone:	
The property at 26 other structural iss 100% replacemen reconstruction of the reconstruction prohomes in the Tenrow encroaching of structure in the are the same plane as	N Hancock street is in need of ues and leaks, to bring it up to t. Therefore because so much ne 107 year old roof it makes scess. This home was turned from current zoning setback distate of new construction. The integration of the other existing walls. There	of the roof needs to be replaced it wense to create additional living spacement of bedroom boarding house into plan review it was discovered that ances. To adhere to exiting zoning of this request is to allow the stree would also be significant additional spectfully request that the zoning board of the service would also be significant additional spectfully request that the zoning board of the service would also be significant additional spectfully request that the zoning board of the service would also be significant additional spectfully request that the zoning board of the service would also be significant additional specificant add	co exisiting collar ties amoung many coment would require significant if not was determined that as part of the e for family members as part of the one of only a handful of single family the exisiting walls of the stucture are redinances would mean jogging the acture to look contiguous and to sit in costs to engineering and construction
			See reverse stae for more instructions)
Amount Paid	. \$300 -	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY Hearing Date:	07-27-2017
Receipt		Published Date:	07-20-2017
Filing Date	: 7/5/17	Appeal Number:	
Received By		GQ:	
Parcel Number	- 211128	- YUT-2 Code Section(s):	사용성 등에 전한 발표 경기를 보고 있다. 연구 경기를 보고 있는 것이 되었다.
Zoning District			
Alder District			

Standards for Variance

The Zoning Board of Appeals shall not grant a variance unless it finds that the applicant has shown the following standards are met:

1.	There are conditions unique to the property of the applicant that do not apply generally to other properties in the district.
	This is an existing structure on a hoghly irregular lot with substandard setbacks as it was built
	prior to zoning regulations that are curretnly in place.
2.	The variance is not contrary to the spirit, purpose, and intent of the regulations in the zoning district and is not contrary to the public interest.
	The proposed construction is consistent and with much consideraton to other structures in the neighboorhood and direct
	vicinity to the subject property. Proposed construction will not diminish exsiting setbacks with other buildings as
	the proposed construction is vertical not horizontal in nature.
3.	For an area (setbacks, etc) variance, compliance with the strict letter of the ordinance would unreasonably prevent use of the property for a permitted purpose or would render compliance with the ordinance unnecessarily burdensome.
	Building needs new roof. Construction would make more sense sitting on existing
	load bearing exterior walls than jogging them in for two main reasons 1) cosmetics and also 2) constructability.
4.	The alleged difficulty or hardship is created by the terms of the ordinance rather than by a person who has a present interest in the property.
	Construction predates my ownership, usable space for otherwise permissible vertical expansion
	transferring loads inward by just a few inches would not make sense.
5.	The proposed variance shall not create substantial detriment to adjacent property. The variance if granted would not create a hardship to adjacent properties. New structure
	would only be approximately 5.5 feet taler than existing structure. Gable end facing west in lieu
	of a hip is to accomidate mechanicals and is consistant with other stuctures on the same block.
6.	The proposed variance shall be compatible with the character of the immediate neighborhood. Proposed double hung windows match existing structur and well as next door neighbor to the
	North. There are a number of 3 story buildings across the street from my property on N Hancock Street and there
	are significantly taller structures on the same block. Example: 21 N Butler street (Butler Plaza)

Application Requirements

Please provide the following Information (Please note any boxes left uncheck below could result in a processing delay or the Board's denial of your application):

Date:			
Zoning Board of Appeals Chair:			
The Zoning Board of Appeals: Approved Denied Conditionally Approved			
Further findings of fact are stated in the minutes of this public hearing.			
DECISION The Board, in accordance with its findings of fact, hereby determines that the requested variance for (is) (is not) in compliance with all of the standards for a variance.			
(Do not write below this line/For Office Use Only)			
Owner's Signature: Date:			
	CHECK HERE. I have been given a copy of and have reviewed the standards that the Zoning Board of Appeals will use when reviewing applications for variances.		
	CHECK HERE. I acknowledge any statements implied as fact require supporting evidence.		
	Variance requests specifically involving slope, grade, or trees. Approximate location and amount of slope, direction of drainage, location, species and size of trees.		
	Lakefront setback variance requests only. Provide a survey prepared by a registered land surveyor showing existing setbacks of buildings on adjacent lots, per MGO 28.138.		
	Front yard variance requests only. Show the building location (front setback) of adjacent properties on each side of the subject property to determine front setback average.		
	Interior floor plan of existing and proposed structure, when relevant to the variance request and required by Zoning Staff (Most additions and expansions will require floor plans). (Maximum size for all drawings is $11'' \times 17''$)		
	Elevations from all relevant directions showing existing and proposed views, with notation showing the existing structure and proposed addition(s). (Maximum size for all drawings is $11'' \times 17''$)		
	Site plan, drawn to scale. A registered survey is recommended, but not required. Show the following on the site plan (Maximum size for all drawings is 11" x 17"): Lot lines Existing and proposed structures, with dimensions and setback distances to all property lines Approximate location of structures on neighboring properties adjacent to variance Major landscape elements, fencing, retaining walls or other relevant site features Scale (1" = 20' or 1' = 30' preferred) North arrow		
	Pre-application meeting with staff: Prior to submittal of this application, the applicant is strongly encouraged to discuss the proposed project and submittal material with Zoning staff. Incomplete applications could result in referral or denial by the Zoning Board of Appeals.		