City of Madison, Wisconsin

REPORT OF: URBAN DESIGN COMMISSION PRESENTED: October 3, 2012

TITLE: 4800 & 4950 Voges Road – New

Construction in UDD No. 1 – Galleon Run Business Center/Multi-Building & Tenant

Office/Warehouse Development. 16th Ald.

Dist. (27669)

REFERRED:

REREFERRED:

REPORTED BACK:

AUTHOR: Alan J. Martin, Secretary ADOPTED: POF:

DATED: October 3, 2012 **ID NUMBER:**

Members present were: Richard Wagner, Chair; Marsha Rummel, Richard Slayton, Henry Lufler, Melissa Huggins, Dawn O'Kroley and Cliff Goodhart.

SUMMARY:

At its meeting of October 3, 2012, the Urban Design Commission **GRANTED FINAL APPROVAL** of new construction located at 4800 and 4950 Voges Road in UDD No. 1. Appearing on behalf of the project were Greg Fax and Mark Goetzinger, representing St. John Properties; and Jeremy Hartline. The project will consist of six buildings, three of which are single-story office buildings with the other three being flex or office/warehouse buildings. Phase one of the development will be two buildings and related infrastructure. Fax addressed items brought up with the Commission's previous review of the project, with embellishment of the façade from Stoughton Road to the east. The single-story office buildings now have a slight bump-out with the flex buildings embellished on the corner entries. The landscape plan now includes more clustering near the building entries and more shade giving trees have been included in the picnic and parking island areas; the plan is now adequate. A signage package was presented with the applicant noting that it was currently under review by the Zoning Administrator for consistency with the Street Graphics Ordinance.

ACTION:

On a motion by Huggins, seconded by Slayton, the Urban Design Commission **GRANTED FINAL APPROVAL**. The motion was passed on a vote of (6-1) with O'Kroley voting no.

After the Commission acts on an application, individual Commissioners rate the overall design on a scale of 1 to 10, including any changes required by the Commission. The ratings are for information only. They are not used to decide whether the project should be approved. The scale is 1 = complete failure; 2 = critically bad; 3 = very poor; 4 = poor; 5 = fair; 6 = good; 7 = very good; 8 = excellent; 9 = superior; and 10 = outstanding. The overall ratings for this project are 5, 5, 5 and 6.