

From: robert baggot
Sent: Thursday, June 2, 2016 8:36 AM
To: Eskrich, Sara; Parks, Timothy
Subject: Barriques

Just an FYI, the odor from Barriques is very strong in my house this morning. I called Barriques and spoke with the manager. She thanked me for the call, but said there was nothing she could/would do to try to remedy the situation. I really think they need to do something. I would appreciate your input for possible solutions. I think and suggested that the roasting operation was more suitable to an industrial area or business park.

Bob Baggot

From: Ryan Thompson
Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2016 2:21 PM
To: Parks, Timothy
Subject: Re: Barriques conditional use permit

Dear Mr. Parks (and other members of the Plan Commission),

I recently purchased and moved into a house at 954 W Shore Dr, just kitty-corner from the Barriques coffee shop on Park Street. When looking at the house I was excited by the prospect of living so close to a Barriques, as I enjoy their shops and have frequented many in the past to either study or work while enjoying their products. However, since I've moved in, I've noticed some rather "un-neighborly" smoke and smells from the place. My neighbors have informed me that this is secondary to the unlicensed roasting operation occurring at Barriques.

Now, if this was a simple case of smelling roasting coffee, and the pleasant aroma associated, that would be one thing. But this smoke smells nothing like coffee! More like if you burnt old coffee grounds in a stove - it is acrid and irritating. Occassionally, although not every day, I will see thick black smoke coming from their chimney. However, most days I can just smell the fumes. As an emergency physician, I work a very eclectic schedule, and often need to sleep during the day. I have had the unpleasant experience more than once of being woken up by the smell because I accidentally left a window open! While I do not have pulmonary problems, as a physician I would not be surprised if neighbors with asthma or COPD could be detrimentally affected by the fumes.

I have no desire to see Barriques shop leave the neighborhood. However, I hope that in deciding whether Barriques gets the conditional use permit they are seeking, that the commission will consider the effect that this is having on the surrounding homes. I hope the commission opposes the permit until such a time that Barriques can attenuate the fumes from their operation to an acceptable level.

Thank you,
Ryan Thompson
W Shore Dr, Madison

From: Mark
Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2016 12:02 AM
To: Parks, Timothy
Subject: Barriques Conditional Use Permit

Tim Parks,

I wanted to express my opposition to the conditional use for Barriques coffee roasting activities. Such activities have negatively impacted me as well as other neighbors. This specific activity is not only a health concern as a recent Milwaukee Journal Sentinel article points out, but one which the resulting odors also infringes upon our rights and our well-being.

<http://www.jsonline.com/watchdog/watchdogreports/cdc-tests-at-coffee-plant-find-high-levels-of-dangerous-chemical-b99699804z1-374843971.html>

The City's position on odors stemming from the production of a product (such as coffee roasting) can be found in the city ordinances:

28.133 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION STANDARDS

(1)(a) All uses shall be conducted so as to prevent or substantially minimize any nuisance, hazard, or commonly recognized offensive conditions, including creation or emission of dust, gas, smoke, noise, fumes, odors, vibrations, particulate matter, chemical compounds, electrical disturbance, humidity, heat, cold, glare, or night illumination.

In this matter, coffee roasting is a commonly recognized nuisance as many in our neighborhood have already objected to it, just as in many other places across the country. And to be clear, while the conditional use application is for allowing the increased production capacity, the City should prohibit ANY coffee roasting due to it being a recognized nuisance and health concern, regardless of whether it follows the limited production allowed by its on-site sale.

As someone who lives halfway between the coffee roasting activities of both Barriques and Cargo Coffee, as someone who does not have air conditioning, and as someone who enjoys being in their yard and gardening, why should I be constantly subjected to such annoyances even if the individual contribution of a single business is "minor"? The City should also realize that to "minimize" an individual businesses impact does not fully solve a concern. It is also the summation of business activities in a given area which can adversely affect the surrounding community.

At the meeting held a couple months ago, a City official (possibly you) described the City's position as one which does not want to inhibit the success of a business even if it goes against its policies towards protecting the health and well-being of its residents, citing RP's Pasta as an example. To that I say, that Madison does not live or die on the success of RP's Pasta, but rather it's the other way around. By putting the needs of businesses first, over those of the residents, the City is going against the political beliefs held by the majority of its residents. The City should recognize that by focusing its efforts in keeping Madison a great place to live, it creates a desirable location for businesses. And in fact, as someone who works from home, I am a business, just as many others in our neighborhood.

It was also very disheartening to hear that several other neighbors have experienced health issues, even having gone to the hospital on one occasion as I understand. No one should be subjected to such conditions, nor should anyone feel forced to move from their home as one couple has already done.

To conclude, I am in strong opposition to the conditional use for increased coffee roasting capacity for Barriques. Additionally, I am in opposition to coffee roasting as an allowable activity within the City of Madison until strong, safe standards and regulations are developed that protect everyone who may be otherwise adversely affected. The City should be protecting the health and well-being of its residents and therefore should deny this application.

Thank you,
Mark Schoendorff
Emerson St

From: Jane Elmer
Sent: Monday, May 16, 2016 3:26 PM

To: Parks, Timothy
Subject: Barriques

Tim Parks and the City of Madison Planning Commission,

I'm writing to you because I do not think Barriques should be granted a conditional use permit.

I lived on West Shore Dr for 26 years. This property was smoke and odor free until Barriques started roasting 4 1/2 years ago. There were many south wind days that the fumes and smoke limited me from using the yard, three season porch and deck out front over looking Monona Bay. The odor would penetrate the house even with the windows and doors closed. Many days I would leave my home. Other times my stomach would turn, I would get nauseated and a migraine would begin.

We finally put our home up for sale and moved from a great home I loved, away from many neighbors and friends.

Jane Elmer
South Shore Dr
(Formerly from West Shore Dr)

Dear Mr. Parks,

I am writing to you regarding Barriques' (926 S. Park St.) request of the city to issue a conditional use permit to continue their (non-compliant) coffee bean roasting operation.

This is a quality of life issue for those neighbors near the coffee shop - and farther away, depending on the force and direction of the wind.

For several years, I have on occasion, noticed an acrid burning odor while in my backyard, or in the front of my home while gardening. I had no idea where this offensive smell was originating until I began speaking with neighbors a few months ago. I learned that the source was and continues to be Barriques' coffee bean roasting operation. I would have never thought that this type of menace could come from a coffee shop, as the odor is certainly not the lovely smell of coffee brewing. It is, in fact, a rather troubling industrial-type burn that should not be wafting through a residential area.

I live at the corner of South Shore Drive and Whittier St., according to Google Maps, over 500 feet from Barriques. When they are roasting, and the wind drives that stink over to this area, I do not enjoy being outdoors. I have, in fact, run to another part of my property to avoid the fumes. I wonder, If it impacts me and my family this far away, how horrible it must be to live in closer proximity?

According to the ordinance governing the granting of such permits, the Commission "shall consider the effect of such a use on the surrounding properties, the effects of odors, noise, vibration, glare, hours of operation, and other potential side effects of a manufacturing process. "

In the Drafter's Analysis to the Amendment, which included this language, it was explained, "This ordinance ensures that each use is conditional and therefore subject to the Plan Commission's ability to attach conditions to and maintain jurisdiction over such uses. This will ensure that any potential side effects of the manufacturing process are controlled. "

Obviously, Barriques' continued bean roasting emissions has affected this neighborhood with effects of odors and other potential side effects of (its) manufacturing process.

Barriques has been a positive addition to the neighborhood with its coffee shop offerings, but its roasting operation is not welcome. I used to be a frequent customer, but now will continue to boycott this business until it takes its manufacturing emissions to an industrial area.

Sincerely,

Daina Zemliauskas-Juozevicius
South Shore Drive
Madison, WI 53715

From: Carrie Rothburd
Sent: Friday, April 15, 2016 1:17 AM
To: Parks, Timothy; Tucker, Matthew
Subject: Update

Hello,

Thanks again for meeting last week. Ron Shutvet met with Matt Weygandt as well, and I believe they had a very good conversation about some ongoing issues with Barriques' afterburner, as I understand it. I'll get the full update when P&ED meets again on Monday of next week to review the surveys that have been coming in and to plan for next meetings. I will be back in touch on Monday to set up another meeting with you, as you suggested.

Meanwhile I write to report that the exhaust fumes from Barriques circled the entire block from Parr to Lakeside and Park to Lowell this morning at about 10 a.m. I was at Barriques for a meeting from 9 a.m. - 9:45 a.m. and noticed the odor (more sweet and pungent than burnt and acrid this morning) as I left to walk home. There was no smoke visible, but the odor permeated my entire house, despite the fact that our windows were closed. It caught in my throat. It felt as if it filled my head and my throat, and I kept coughing while I was on the phone from about 9:50 to 10:00--coincidentally with Lisie Kitchel of P&ED.

By the time I left home just a little past 10:00 a.m., my head had begun to ache a little. My car, which had been sitting in my driveway was also filled with the smell of roasting beans, which lasted more or less until I reached my destination at the UW. I said to you when we met that I have seldom experienced the fumes from Barriques on my own property. This morning's "invasion" was intense and I could not have tolerated much more than the 15 minutes I was there. I have new levels of empathy for those who experience this on an ongoing basis.

I urge you to take the comments you are receiving from neighbors about the negative impacts of the roasting exhaust more seriously now. The conditions on conditional use you discussed with me last week will do nothing to remedy the problem or to achieve compliance with the terms of conditional use. I am glad that Matt Weygandt seems to be taking neighbors' complaints seriously and is looking into making changes to Barriques' equipment.

Carrie

From: Jacob and Jill Joint account
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2016 12:56 PM
To: Parks, Timothy
Subject: Barriques on South Park Street

Dear Mr. Parks,

I am writing to express my support of Barriques' coffee roasting operation's conditional use application for their South Park location.

I understand that there are some complaints from the nearby neighbors about the smells from the coffee roasting and I am hopeful that those concerns can be addressed.

One big concern of mine is the appearance that those who are complaining the loudest are seen as representing the majority opinion on the matter. Let me state as clearly as I can, They do not.

I am in favor of business development on South Park Street and want to applaud Barriques for taking the risk and making the investment in the long empty space they now are using. I am hopeful that the conditional use application will be ok'd and the concerns about the smells addressed in a logical and level headed manner.

Thank you for your time

Jacob A. Pfeiffer
O'Sheridan Street
Madison

From: Sarah Atis
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2016 2:15 PM
To: Parks, Timothy; Eskrich, Sara
Subject: Park Street Barriques

Dear Tim and Sara,

The City's negligence in not enforcing its zoning code in the case of Barriques at 961 South Park Street is reprehensible and sets an irresponsible precedence. Barriques stinks up the neighborhood along South Shore and West Shore Drive. It should be brought into compliance. Barriques' arrogant disregard of city zoning and neighbors' complaints should not be tolerated. One wonders whose palm was being greased when the Common Council passed a change in the zoning code to allow for the possibility of "small manufacturing operations" along shopping streets like South Park. What about shopping streets like Monroe Street or Willie Street that also flank neighborhood backyards! .Barriques should not be granted permission to continue its disregard of the law and the neighborhood in its own backyard.

Sincerely,
Sarah Atis
West Shore Drive

From: Jody Lynn Trochlell Derr
Sent: Friday, April 01, 2016 5:47 PM
To: Parks, Timothy
Cc: Eskrich, Sara
Subject: Barriques

To Whom It May Concern:

As a Bay Creek Resident and neighbor to Barriques on Park Street, we are opposed to Barriques conditional use for roasting coffee beans. The smell from the roasting is offensive. The City should ban Barriques from roasting at this location. Perhaps this would also eliminate the illegal parking by semi-trucks on Parr Street (this is a no parking zone which is regularly ignored by both commercial vehicles and patrons visiting this business).

Sincerely,
Jody & Nick Derr

South Shore Drive
Madison, WI 53715

From: Cynthia K McCallum
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 8:57 PM
To: Parks, Timothy
Cc: Eskrich, Sara
Subject: oppose Barriques' roasting coffee at South Park St.

Hello,

I have lived on Monona Bay for almost 26 years. I love the views, the pedestrians and have enjoyed many walks along the Bay.

I have also been fortunate enough to travel to Costa Rica to tour a coffee plantation. It was a tour I remember very fondly for many reasons, one of which: there wasn't the stench of burnt rubber that I experience in my own neighborhood.

I have been told that Barriques is trying to accommodate the residents of the Bay by timing the roasting. Roasting coffee in the middle of the day really ruins my lunch time walk with my dogs.

I don't have air conditioning. I rely on open windows and breezes to keep my home comfortable. Now, I have to choose between being comfortable or letting the smell of coffee roasting permeate my home.

I was pleased to see Barriques move in. We need businesses that offer a different choice of coffee and wine. They can roast somewhere else. Ancorra roasts on the east side in a commercial area. Their roasting also can produce a foul smell sometimes but it is a commercial area. A business with a home on the back side of the business is not commercial enough to allow the continued abuse.

Please return our neighborhood to be a pleasant place to walk.

Sincerely,

Cynthia K. McCallum
South Shore Dr.

From: Sara Ben-Ami
Sent: Tuesday, March 29, 2016 12:59 PM
To: Parks, Timothy
Cc: Eskrich, Sara
Subject: Hearing on Barriques' coffee roasting

Dear Mr. Parks & Ms. Eskrich;

When I received the information letter regarding Barriques, a light bulb went off. I hadn't been aware of the coffee roasting operation and was wondering if I could complain about what I assumed was a couple of neighbors with wood-burning stoves!

I live at least two blocks away from Barriques and upwind so the fact that I can smell it says something about the strength of the odor. The aroma is not pleasant; it smells like someone is burning old or wet wood. I can't imagine what it smells like to the home-owners on Monona Bay!

These kinds of issues are always difficult as a balance between good business neighbors & residents is desirable. However, the coffee roasting stench moves Barriques into a not-so-good neighbor category.

How has the EVP roasting site on E. Washington Avenue fared with their neighbors? Do they use some other type of venting system? In other words, are there other options available to resolve this situation?

In any case, there needs to be a resolution as the smell is awful.

Sara Ben-Ami
High St
Madison, WI 53715

From: lostdauphin1 .
Sent: Monday, March 28, 2016 10:56 AM
To: Eskrich, Sara
Subject: coffee roasting

Sara,

Please share my comments with the plan commission. I wish I did not have to spend time on yet another "issue" in our neighborhood. Seems we have a small number of individuals who are to be opposed to everything and anything! We live on lakeside with a backyard one lot away from Barriques. I am a teacher and therefore am home with my children all summer long. We have smelled the roasting beans at times. NO BIG DEAL!

We were all happy to have Barriques in place of that vacant property 4 years ago. When I first heard a neighbor whining about the scent I was shocked at the objection from a person who drinks their coffee all the time. We all live in a community and this requires give and take. Regarding Barriques, I cannot think of a better example of the cooperation requisite of a healthy community.

Mike and Sharlene Merline
W. Lakeside Street

From: Sue Hoffenberg
Sent: Monday, March 28, 2016 8:31 AM
To: Parks, Timothy
Cc: Eskrich, Sara; Carrie Rothburd
Subject: Barriques Zoning

To the City of Madison,

Please respect the purpose of the zoning process and protect the character and environmental safety of the Monona Bay and Bay Creek neighborhoods. It should go without saying that the purpose of zoning code is to provide distinct locations for competing, but valid, interests. Shore Drive is clearly zoned as residential.

People can legitimately expect to live in an environment that is free of toxins being expelled into the air by their next door neighbors.

The city has knowingly allowed Barrique's non-conforming manufacturing of coffee to continue at the Park Street location. For four years, Barriques has illegally roasted coffee for all seven of its locations there. These violations were under both the previous and the current zoning codes.

If Barriques would have acknowledged that they planned to roast coffee at Park Street in their original conditional use application, the city would have had to deny the application. In 2011, under zoning code C2, the following conditions applied:

Madison's old zoning code (pre 2013) Sec. 28.04(16)(b)3.

"All activities involving the production, processing... shall be conducted in such a manner whereby there shall be no ...smoke, ..Odor, ..And no objectionable influence detrimental to the public health, safety, comfort or general welfare of the immediate neighborhood or community."

Madison old zoning code (pre 2013) Sec. 28.09(3)

"All goods produced on the premises shall be sold at retail on the premises where produced unless approved as a conditional use." (Am. by Ord. 5982, 9-30-77)

It is precisely because Barriques did not state that they planned to roast coffee, that they have been able to in fact manufacture it there for four years.

When I walk around Monona Bay for healthful exercise, I am negatively impacted by exhaust from their unlawful coffee roasting. Barriques roasts for all 7 of its locations on a block that includes Shore Drive. The fact that Shore Drive is designated as being a Park and Pleasure Drive greatly increases the extent of this zoning violation. This street is currently in the process of also being designated as a bicycle boulevard.

Our homes are zoned residential, not mixed use. We pay property taxes on that assumption. When exhaust from our next door neighbor's manufacturing affects our air quality, our rights are being violated.

The question now before the city is how to resolve the problem of manufacturing being done at a location that is out of compliance with current zoning and which is negatively impacting homes next door in a residential district.

Alder Eskrich wrote to <baycreek@yahogroups.com> on Thursday, March 17, stating, "You are correct that Barriques has been out of compliance with zoning since they opened. This is what we are working to remedy."

One course of action would be to make this manufacturing practice legal. This is a very dangerous precedent to set if the city is to have a residential zoning code with integrity.

The other is to hold businesses accountable to the law.

I urge the city to take the second course.

Sincerely,
Sue Hoffenberg
West Shore Drive
Madison, Wi 53715

From: Drew
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 2:24 PM
To: Parks, Timothy

Cc: Parks
Subject: Barriques

Hi,

I understand this is the email to discuss the Barriques fume issue on Park Street. I live about four blocks from Barriques and smell the strong brewing smell a lot during the day.

It's definitely a gross smell as I don't drink coffee and was surprised when it started a few years ago.

I now avoid jogging past that area during the day after reading about the chemicals with that process.

I understand the need for economic development - it's unfortunate this is right next to residential.

Barriques also has an issue of customers parking on Parr street which is a no no. As a matter of fact, around 1:30pm today I was making a turn onto Parr street and was almost hit by a car coming head on in the wrong lane! (This spurred my need to email).

The other car was boxed out of their lane by a huge Sysco delivery truck parked in the east bound traffic lane! It was a huge truck perhaps an 18 wheeler delivering to Barriques. Talk about a blind spot. I understand the car needs to get around that truck but unfortunately across double no passing lines and into oncoming traffic.

Please do something about these issues.

Thank you for your time.

From: Kim
Sent: Wednesday, March 23, 2016 5:56 PM
To: Parks, Timothy
Subject: We oppose a Conditional Use Permit for Barrique's on S. Park St.

Dear Mr. Parks,

My wife Sally and I are writing to you today to explain why we oppose the City of Madison's granting of a Conditional Use Permit for roasting coffee to Barrique's at [961 S. Park Street](#). We live just north of Barrique's and the smoke and fumes from their coffee roasting process roll directly through our backyard whenever the wind comes from the south. Regardless of the direction the wind is blowing, the fumes from Barrique's negatively effect our quality of life.

Sally is on oxygen [24/7/365](#) due to a lung disorder. Barrique's coffee roasting fumes aggravate her breathing difficulties. We never know when the fumes might enter our home through open windows so we must almost always keep all of our windows closed. Because we are unable to open our home to fresh air, we bear the added expense of a Space Guard filtration system on our furnace and of either heating or cooling our home nearly every day of the year. This is difficult on a fixed income and on top of high medical costs.

I also experience negative effects from the fumes and smoke coming from Barrique's. On some days, the fumes cause me to be unable to be outdoors to enjoy my own yard. The fumes aggravate my asthma and have made it necessary for me to occasionally need my rescue inhaler.

Our home was built by my wife's parents in 1936 and has been owned and occupied by our extended family since that time. My wife and I have lived here since 1980.

Klinke's Cleaners has been located directly behind our house for many years. In contrast to Barrique's, we have never detected any emissions from the dry cleaning business and have not been negatively effected by having that business located in close proximity to our home.

In the informational meetings before Barrique's was opened, our neighborhood was told that the only cooking equipment on the premises would be a microwave, yet coffee roasting equipment was installed in the building and has been used consistently since then. To our knowledge, Barrique's has not been issued a permit for this activity. Because of the negative effects we experience from Barrique's coffee roasting, we ask that no Conditional Use Permit be issued and that coffee roasting should cease.

Sincerely,

Tom and Sally Ulrich
[West Shore Drive](#)
[Madison, WI 53715](#)

From: Stephen Hoffenberg
Sent: Wednesday, March 23, 2016 3:46 PM
To: Parks, Timothy
Subject: Coffee Roasting at Barriques

I'm writing this to register my opposition to the conditional use application submitted by Barriques. If their intention is to continue to roast all of their coffee for the 7 stores, this is a very bad idea for the neighborhood.

I frequently walk along Monona Bay and have been struck by how strong the smell is from this roasting. I also understand that Barriques was doing this roasting illegally for quite a long time before they even

thought it might be a problem for the neighborhood. I'm also concerned about the employees that are doing the roasting. There have been studies showing that coffee roasting can be dangerous to the health of people who frequently are exposed to the exhaust. Even if this evidence is not yet conclusive, it's certainly best to err on the side of safety.

I live on Monona Bay, albeit more than 5 blocks away. There have been days when I can smell the roasting even at my house. I can't imagine what it would be like to be subjected to this on a daily basis, especially if you might have a respiratory illness like asthma.

Please reject this application. I'm in support of local business, but this is not the place that Barriques ought to be roasting, so close to so many people's homes. This is especially true if they're roasting all 7 of their coffeehouses.

Sincerely,

Steve Hoffenberg
W. Shore Dr

From: michael lamont
Sent: Wednesday, March 23, 2016 7:25 AM
To: Parks, Timothy
Subject: monona bay neighborhood concerns

Tim, you and I spoke briefly at a neighborhood meeting regarding the development at the corners of Fish Hatchery and Park Street. At that time, my concern about parking allowances was answered by your policy provision of one spot per bedroom for projects from Midvale to the Yahara. While I'm confident that was not memorable to you, I'll follow up with a few concerns for future development in this neighborhood.

I've been working on some building projects at my home at 723 South Shore Drive, interior remodeling, front porch, and a garage. There is a proposal for permitting continued coffee roasting at Barriques a block away. I can tell you truthfully that that exhaust smell, sometimes several times in a week, is objectionable and noticeable here. There is no way I would support maintaining that processing facility in this residential area. It stinks, as does the suggestion that city governance would allow it. You may not notice the type of smell until it hangs and permeates your environment, but it stinks of burning leaves mixed with cow waste, if it can be described at all. Given the fact that Barriques has multiple sites and plans on expanding both service sites and retail outlets through satellite stores, the roasting and its repulsive exhaust smell will increase. Your allowance for that enterprise here is wrong, and its negative effect will be worse. The exhaust filters do not work consistently, and I know from inspection that they have reviewed their deficiencies. If you want an example of detrimental "cleaned" exhaust, go to Purdue for a game, cross over from West Lafayette to Lafayette, and grab something, like a deodorizer for your house, at Menards. The smell of their food processing plant sticks on the city area, and while their product is different, it represents the same objectionable stink that the City of Madison may be allowing on one of its main development arterials. There is no way the new complex across the street will find Barriques' off-gas acceptable. The roasting stench commercial venture belongs in a commercial area, transport is required to stores, so the roasting location must be located in a more appropriate site.

I honestly don't know where this proposal is in your system, but please don't allow it. As a building professional, I've worked with Matt Tucker in zoning, and know him to be a valuable peer professional and very helpful with residential projects in the past. There's no way he could support this if he would know the nagging effect the obnoxious smell creates. I believe other commercial cooking proposals have been declined in the past because of their negative effect on neighbors. Our homes deserve the city's respect, our taxes are your sustenance. Please show us some consideration.

From: robert baggot
Sent: Tuesday, March 22, 2016 11:45 AM
To: Eskrich, Sara; Parks, Timothy
Subject: Barriques

Sarah and Tim,

I live at 843 South Shore Drive near Barriques. I have heard that Barriques has been in violation of their permit with regards to coffee roasting. I have also heard that the city is looking at ways to accommodate Barriques coffee roasting operation. Barriques is roasting as I am drafting this email. The odor from their roasting operation I believe qualifies as a malodorous emission under the Clean Air Act.

I have no problem with the coffee shop retail, but the roasting operation is offensive and impacts the air quality with regards to odor. Try to imagine this odor coming into your house or yard. I think they are located too close to residential housing for a roasting operation.

I would appreciate your response and any information about what is likely to happen with coffee roasting at Barriques on Park Street. Thank you.

Robert Baggot

From: Joanne Pedder
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2016 3:04 PM
To: Parks, Timothy
Subject: Barriques

Mr. Parks,

I am another of the neighbors who are effected by the horrible smell that we are subjected to as a result of the roasting of coffee beans at Barrique's on S. Park St. I think it is time that they do their roasting somewhere else. I love the smell of coffee, but NOT the horrible smell and smoke from the roasting process.

I have lived here for 82 years, and never had to live with this before.

Joanne Pedder
W. Shore Dr.
Madison, WI 53715

From: Rita Rumbelow]
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2016 12:46 PM
To: Parks, Timothy; michaelamont@yahoo.com
Subject: There should be NO roasting at Barriques on Park Street - it's a residential neighborhood

Barriques has the option of roasting their coffee in Fitchburg - an Industrial area. The smell when they roast permeates the entire neighborhood and it's disgusting. We pay a lot of money in property taxes.

Please support single family homeowners - not a businessman, and say NO roasting at Barriques on Park Street. We have all been in the neighborhood for years. We should come first and be able to sit outside and breath easy.

Thank you,
Rita - South Shore Drive
Mike - same address.