Good Evening Decision Makers, Community Members + Neighbors, My name is Nikobe Nelson and I have lived in the Atwood neighbahood for le years on Tackson Street. When I first moved in I was awazed at how accepting and open every single person was that al come into untact with, and this still remains true today. When al think of the Atwood community I feel a sense of warmth and happiness and think of a place where all humans are free and equal. However, the last time the BP tried to obtain their ben livense they were denied and this appeal was scheduled. There are a myriad of thoughts that come to mind when I consider this, the first being how unfair it is to impose personal beliefs & life styles on others (such or making the choice to abstain from alcoholic beverages. In my opinion we should each respect one another without judgment, as I know our Heavenly Father advises. I

understand the potential haim that can come from dinking, but who is to say which beverage to drink and when And, it members of the churches on Atwood are conceined about a gas station down the street selling been, when do we bring up the wine, drank as a replica of the blood of Christ! H5 meredibly unjust to say some can do an activity in Gods name white others cannot. I also keep thinking about how the BP on Atwood is one of my favorite Convience stores in the neighborhood, and it is also owned and operated by a remarkably land family makes that are a minority in this free country of ours. It pains me to think that racism may be one of the only forces stopping this stree from exercising their right to own a business and support their family on American soil. Looking through the history of our country, those who are martyred and persecuted always come back with more

shength, more energy of more defermination than ever. If we lose this battle today we will be back, time and time again, until we reach our goal. Fishting for what we believe in is what Americans one known for, and as this letter is being read we are gathering more supporters of justice and equality for all.

Will you stand with us or will you choose to fall opart?

Thanks for listening to my words,

Nikole Nelson

regarding this litter, please email com please email inspiredlikeme egwail com on with to: PO Box 257953 Madison WI S3725

The Manager Just WaNTS. The opportunity To provide a service For The community. For all The right reason's and I Think They deserve Charce IFProphenis orise Then They will be addressed. MOST OF US LOOK FOR Ways To help others. AND THIS IS ONE WAY WE CAN MELP THEM STAY IN BUSINGS

To Whom This MAY Concern:

> I Would Herx Much 1º Ke Too Support The SMALL Business-man ind OUR Neghood By givin 9. Him a Chance, we OUR HERE TO Help OUR NeighBOR

Palph Williams 608-509-1270

To All Concerned About The Liquar License -AS A Resident and Small Business owner in the school attied and For Over 30 poor, Samoun redurageno you To sward the Ligure Licence TO BP FOR the Following Reasons la New Management (Nabal) & Co. Have demonstrated a responseble community oriented Business environment 20 The employees are adults and their are only 30fthem (Less chance of ouror) 3. Management understands the responsibility that goes with selling Bear and & they will be deligent in checking Ids. #4-cont-THO

21 I Know the full history of BP/clark and have endured Vosses (monetary) from Pillious owners - I industrand the neighborhood fears of robbory fights Etc.,

NABEL HOSVER FORMETHAT RECOMMUNITY

BESPORTBLOAND CHES OCCULT THE COMMUNITY

Lets More Ferrelord with

Lets More Ferrelord with

Lets More Ferrelord with This accision - IT will go full cercle and the sengths well Be realized By all in the neighborthoods all of Madison. 46. Nobelhas been Very Recoptive To imput the given her reporting with BP can contribute to the summer and comments and account to the second.

32289

Regarding the application to the ALRC for a license to sell beer at the Atwood Avenue BP Station:

I live two blocks from the Atwood Avenue BP Station. I am adamantly opposed to the approval of a license for this gas station to sell beer.

In the past, the owners of this property agreed to a Conditional Use that required that the premises never sell alcohol. Each owner, as the property was sold to new owners, was aware of this restriction. The current owner was well aware, at the time they bought the property, that this restriction was in place. They should be held to that promise, made to the neighborhood in 2006, and not have their application for a license granted.

The Plan Commission, I believe, simply punted the issue to the ALRC because they believed it was an issue related to alcohol only. In fact, one of the applicants was the original developer of the BP station -- who agreed to the restriction in the first place.

This is not an issue of fairness: there are indeed other premises on Atwood Avenue that have licenses to sell beer and liquor. However, only this premise had its owners agree to a permanent restriction NOT to sell alcohol on the premise. The argument that others have a license and so the BP station should be allowed to have one two ignores the simple fact that the property owners made a promise to the neighborhood to NEVER sell alcohol on the premises in exchange for being able to redevelop the property in a way that fit their plans.

The owners should be held to that promise and not allowed to have their license approved.

In addition, some people suggest that, because of the lower quality beer that will be sold at the station, somehow class or race comes into play in this argument. This logic is also faulty. No other set of owners have agreed to the set of conditions that these owners have agreed to. So, other businesses that sell alcohol on Atwood Avenue are not in a similar situation: they are not restricted because they made no such promises to the neighborhood.

The SASY neighborhood has written you a letter opposing the approval of the license application. The immediate neighbors do not want this license approved.

In the face of the immediate opposition of neighbors, and the neighborhood association should the ALRC approve the application. No it should put the application on file and suggest to the owners that they continue to fufill the promise they made to the neighborhood when they bought the property.

With regards,

mark

Mark McFadden Madison WI Dean Christianson 402 Maple Ave. Madison, WI 53704 608-246-0256 hchristianson@charter.net

November 14, 2013

RE: Issuance of Liquor License

To Whom it May Concern:

I am writing to support the application for a liquor license for the BP located at 2801 Atwood Ave, Madison, Wisconsin. I attended a community meeting in September at the Plymouth Church regarding this issue. There were approximately nine people in attendance to talk about whether a license to sell beer should be issued to the operators of the BP. Of the nine people attending, only two people opposed the idea stating that the previous condition placed on the premises to prohibit the sale of alcohol, which has now been lifted, should not have been lifted. (Apparently the condition was placed on the premises long ago under different ownership and it has nothing to do with the current owners.) Also in attendance was the Chairman of SASY (Schenk-Atwood-Starkweather-Yahara Association), Lou Host Jablonski. As I recall, he was not opposed to the issuance of a license on a trial basis to see what the impact would have on the neighborhood. I have lived in the neighborhood for over 25 years and I do not believe that it would be detrimental to the area to have beer sales at the BP.

Very truly yours,

Dean F. Christianson